

General Application Requirements (FINAL)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700526

Agency Information

(Carefully read the instructions before completing this form)

1. Agency Information

- a. Agency Name USFS - Tahoe/Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit NF - Patrol District
- b. Organizational Unit Tahoe National Forest
- c. Address 631 Coyote Street
- e. City Nevada City State CA Zip 95959
- f. Federal Id Number 53-0478617 DUNS Number 2000000049-12
- g. Agency fiscal year (beginning month and day) October-01
- h. Agency Type (Please check one)
- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> City | <input type="radio"/> County | <input type="radio"/> U.S. Forest Service |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> U.S. Forest Service - Patrol District | <input type="radio"/> U.S. Bureau of Land Management | <input type="radio"/> Other Federal Agency |
| <input type="radio"/> Federally Recognized Native American Tribe | <input type="radio"/> Educational Institution | <input type="radio"/> Nonprofit Organization - 501(c)(3) status only |
| <input type="radio"/> State Agency | <input type="radio"/> District | |

2. Project Information

- a. Project Name General Application Requirements
- b. Is implementing agency same as Agency (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No
- c. Implementing Agency Name
- d. Amount of Funds Requested \$60,000.00 Project Cost \$80,000.00

Project Request(s) Summary

#	Project Type	Project Title	Grant Request	Match	Total Project Cost
1	G09-02-33-L01	Tahoe National Forest	125,000	122,000	247,000
2	G09-02-33-L02	LTBMU	65,000	22,000	87,000
3		TOTAL	190,000	144,000	334,000

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # _____

APP # 700526

3. Contact

a. Project Administrator

Name	Melissa Ewing					
Title	Others					
Mailing Address	631 Coyote Street					
City	Nevada City	State	CA	Zip	95959	
Telephone	(530) 478-6166			Fax	(530) 478-6179	
E-mail Address	melissaewing@fs.fed.us					

b. Authorized Representative

Name	Don Lane					
Title	Grants Administrator					
Mailing Address	35 College Drive					
City	South Lake Tahoe	State	Ca	Zip	96150	
Telephone	(530) 543-2621			Fax	(530) 543-2693	
E-mail Address	dlane@fs.fed.us					

c. Project Administrator

Name	Gary Barnett					
Title	Others					
Mailing Address	9646 Donner Pass Rd					
City	Truckee	State	CA	Zip	96161	
Telephone	(530) 587-3558 - 237			Fax	(530) 587-4857 - 237	
E-mail Address	gbarnett@fs.fed.us					

Location Map

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700526

A. Location Map

Attachments:

[Location Map - USFS Tahoe/Lake Tahoe Basin](#)

Equipment Inventory

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700526

A. Equipment Inventory

Has your agency purchased any Equipment with OHV Trust Funds within the last five (5) years? (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No

#	Item Description	Make	Model	Model Year	Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) or Serial Number	Project Agreement Number
1	Snowmobile (LTBMU)	Arctic Cat	M8	2006	4UF07SNW37T123135	06-CO-1051900-008
2	Snowmobile (TNF-LE)	Yamaha	RX10MWL	2007	JYE8FS0057A004251	G07-02-20-L01
3	Snowmobile (TNF-LE)	Yamaha	RX10MWL	2007	JYE8FS0057A004252	G07-02-20-L01
4	Motocycle (TNF-YRRD-LE)	Suzuki	DRZ400SK9	2009	JS1SK43A1921001551	G07-02-20-L01
5	ATV (TNF-ARRD-LE)	Suzuki	King Quad	2007	5SAAL42A777112954	OR-2-T-100
6	ATV (TNF-ARRD-LE)	Suzuki	King Quad	2007	5SAAL42A677107633	OR-2-T-100

Habitat Management Program (HMP)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700526

PART 1 - ITEM 1. DETERMINE THE NEED FOR FULL FULL HABITAT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (HMP)

All Applicants submitting Projects involving Ground Disturbing Activities are subject to HMP requirements. The HMP must cover the combined Project Area of all proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities.

Applicants able to certify that none of the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit only HMP Part 1. Applicants who cannot certify that the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation do not contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit HMP Parts 1 and 2.

1. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. Can the Applicant certify that none of the proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats? (If you checked 'Yes', you are done with HMP) (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No

PART 2 - RISK ANALYSIS, MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND REPORTING

PART 2 - Section I. Summary of HMP Changes

Has the Applicant previously submitted a HMP Part 2 that is currently in use in the proposed Project Area? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No

Table 1 - Summary of HMP Changes

Changes from Previous Year	Section Where Change Occurs

PART 2 - Section II - Special Status Species

Table 2 - Table of All Special-Status Species and Any Other Species of Local Concern That Were Considered for Inclusion in the HMP

Species	Listing Status	Habitat	Potential for Occurrence	Addressed by HMP? If not explain why?

PART 2 - Section III - Map(s) of Project Area

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 3

Table 3 - Data (Including Baseline Data) and Management Program for Species and/or Sensitive Habitats

Species/Habitat	Known Information	Methodology	Concerns / Risks / Uncertainties	Management Objective(s)	Management Action(s)	Success Criteria

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 4

Table 4: Summary of HMP Monitoring Program

Species/Habitat	Change Detection Methodology	Effectiveness Monitoring Methodology, Including Triggers	Identify Any Applicable Validation Monitoring (Focused Studies)

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 5

Table 5. Management Review and Response; Adaptive Management

Monitoring Methodology	How Monitoring Information Will Inform Management	How Data Will Be Analyzed	Management Response to Identified Triggers	Who Will Plan Management Response

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 6

Table 6: Previous Year's Monitoring Results

Monitoring Accomplishments	Results	Were Objectives and Success Criteria Achieved?

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 7

Table 7: Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

Management Actions	Species/ Habitat	Date Completed or Planned - mm/dd/yyyy	Changes Needed to HMP

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 8

Table 8 Management Actions Taken in Response to HMP-related Public Concerns

Concern Raised by Public	Actions Taken to Address the Concern

--	--

Soil Conservation

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700526

A. Soil Conservation

- a. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No

B. Soil Conservation Plan

Public Review Process

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700526

A. Public Notification Efforts

Check all that apply: (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Notice to interested Parties/Groups (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [02/25/2010]
- ☒ Published on Applicant's Website (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [02/25/2010]
- ☐ Published in Newspaper
- ☒ News Release Issued
- ☐ Public Meeting(s) Hearing(s) Held

B. Public Comments

The Tahoe National Forest (TNF) received a total of six public comments and zero CA OHMVR Division comments in regard to the 2010-2011 Law Enforcement OHV Grant application. Three of those comments supported the TNF Law Enforcement Grant, two of those comments were not supportive, and one comment identified law enforcement priorities, but was silent on overall support. Although not explicitly identifying the Tahoe National Forest, two respondents made general references to the Forest Service and the ongoing Travel Management process; they expressed overall displeasure with both the process and the agency, they did not support the TNF receiving CA OHV grant monies.

Within the three supportive comments, authors focused on the need for increased law enforcement along the Fordyce Trail, emphasized the effectiveness of enforcement and education concurrently, and expressed concerns about matching funds. Specifically both supportive parties reported a need for an increased law enforcement presence along the entire length of the Fordyce trail. Interestingly, these two split on the need for a Forest Service Fordyce-worthy 4X4 vehicle – one was supportive, while one thought the law enforcement officers should just walk the trail. One commenter also encouraged the USFS to emphasize law enforcement patrols to address ongoing vehicle break-ins along I-80 staging areas that provide access to the Fordyce Trail. The need to combine enforcement with education was suggested by one author, especially in regard the ongoing Travel Management process and numerous upcoming agency decisions. Finally one commenter expressed concern about utilizing the Sierra Avalanche Center and North Tahoe Backcountry Ski Patrol activities as matching funds for the TNF Law Enforcement grant.

C. Application Development as a result of Public Comments

- a. Were changes made to the Application as a result of public comments? (Please select ☐ Yes ☒ No Yes or No)
- b. Describe how public comments affected the Application

Certifications

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700526

1. Applicant Certifications

A. General Conditions

- A. The Applicant hereby certifies, under the penalty of perjury, compliance with the following ☒ terms and conditions:
1. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees to monitor the condition of soils and wildlife in the Project Area each year in order to determine whether the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to Public Resource Code (PRC), Section 5090.35 and the HMP prepared pursuant to Section 5090.53(a) are being met.
 2. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion, to repair and prevent accelerated erosion, until the same soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is met.
 3. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion until the same HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is met.
 4. The Applicant agrees to enforce the registration of off-highway motor vehicles and the other provisions of Division 16.5 (commencing with Section 38000) of the Vehicle Code and to enforce the other applicable laws regarding the operation of off-highway motor vehicles.
 5. The Applicant agrees to cooperate with appropriate law enforcement entities to provide proper law enforcement at and around the Facility.
 6. The Applicant's Project is in accordance with local or federal plans and the strategic plan for OHV Recreation prepared by the OHMVR Division.

B. Programmatic Conditions

B. The Applicant must describe the following programmatic conditions:

1. Identify the potential for the facility to reduce illegal and unauthorized OHV Recreation activities in the surrounding areas:

The "facility," respective to this grant is the Tahoe National Forest OHV trail system, the Lake Tahoe Basin motorized trail system, the forest roads, the Prosser Pit Open Area, the "Sand Pit" OHV area in the south shore, and respective to the winter OSV use, approximately 50% of all National Forest lands within the Tahoe/Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit Patrol District.
2. Describe how the Applicant is meeting the operations and maintenance needs of any existing OHV Recreation Facility under its jurisdiction:

The USFS within the Lake Tahoe Patrol Unit as a primary responsibility routinely maintains all of its motorized road and trails systems, along with our designated OHV play areas throughout the Basin. In addition, throughout the year patrol staff provide user education, enforce "green sticker" regulations, conduct use monitoring, along with performing on-site maintenance. Staff also works closely with local organized OHV/OSV groups to cooperatively administer this important program.

C. Fee Collection

Describe how fees collected pursuant to Section 38230 of the Vehicle Code (in-lieu funds) are utilized and whether the fees complement the Applicant's proposed Project:

D. Compliance with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C)

Projects within the O&M category that affect lands identified as inventoried roadless areas by the U.S. Forest Service, are compliant with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C). (Please select Yes or No)

☐ Yes ☐ No

2. Governing Body Resolution

Certification - Non Profits / Education

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700526

- 1. Written Agreement with Land Manager**
- 2. Verification of Nonprofit 501(c)(3) Status**